AO 257 (Rev. 6/78

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Y: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
SUPERSEDIN	
OFFENSE CHARGED	
Count 1: 18 U.S.C. § 1001 (False Statements)	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
Count 2: 18 U.S.C. § 1001 (False Statements)  Count 3: 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(c)(1), 1321(b)(3)  Minor	DEFENDANT - U.S
(Clean Water Act - Negligent Discharge of a Pollutant)	
Count 4: 16 U.S.C. §§ 703 and 707(a)  (Migratory Bird Treaty Act)	1 A
Felon	1 4010
ENALTY: Count 1 & 2: 5 years imprisonment, \$250,000 fine, 3 years	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
supervised release, \$100 special assessment; Count 3: 1 year	CR 08-0160 JCS
imprisonment, \$100,000 fine, 1 year supervised release, \$25 speci assessment; Count 4: 6 month imprisonment, \$15,000 fine, 1 year	
supervised release, \$10 special assessment.	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY  Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	1) If not detained give date any prior
U.S. COAST GUARD/EPA	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	
give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) 🔀 Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	NDCA
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed	5) On another conviction
which were dismissed on motion SHOW	Federal State
of: DOCKET NO.	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
<u> </u>	.
this prosecution relates to a	Has detainer Yes If "Yes"
pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE	heen filed?
CASE NO.	
prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	
detendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
ame and Office of Person	DATE TRANSFERRED   Month/Day/Year
urnishing Information on this form JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO	TO U.S. CUSTODY
■ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
ame of Assistant U.S.	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
ttorney (if assigned)  J. SCHMIDT/STACEY GEIS	_
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS —————————
	Rail Amount:
☐ SUMMONS ☑ NO PROCESS* ☐ WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following: ☐ Arraignment ☐ Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Deletiualit Address.	
	Date/Time: Before Judge:

Case 3:08-cr-00160-SI Document 18 Filed 04/22/2008 Page 2 of 9

# United States District Const

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VENUE: San Francisco

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

٧.

JOHN JOSEPH COTA,

DEFENDANT.

#### SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

Violations: Title 18, United States Code § 1001 (false statements) (two counts); Title 33 United States Code §§ 1319(c)(1)(A), 1321(b) (3) (Clean Water Act) (one count)(a Class A misdemeanor); Title 16 United States Code §§ 703, 707 (Migratory Bird Treaty Act) (one count) (a Class B Misdemeanor)

A true bill.

Mylinulm gallal
Foreman

Filed in open court this 221 day of

Clerk

Bail, \$ AD PROCESS

	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division STACEY P. GEIS (CASBN 181444)	
1	United States Attorney	
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division	
3	LIONATHAN SCHMIDT (CASBN 230040)	
4	Assistant United States Attorneys 450 Golden Gate Ave., 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
5	San Francisco, CA 94102   (415) 436-7126 (tel)	
6	(415) 436-7234 (fax) Stacey.Geis@usdoj.gov	
7	Jonathan Schmidt@usdoj.gov	
8	RONALD J. TENPAS	
9	Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division	
10	United States Department of Justice Richard A. Udell	
11	Senior Trial Attorney Environmental Crimes Section	
12	P.O. Box 23985	
13	L'Enfant Plaza Station Washington, DC 20004	
14	(202) 305-0361 (tel) (202) 514-8865 (fax) Richard.Udell@usdoj.gov	
15		
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
17	LINITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19		
20	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
21	)	
22	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  ) No. CR 08 -00160-JCS	
23	) VIOLATIONS: Plaintiff, ) Title 18 U.S.C. § 1001 (false statements)	
24	(two counts); v. Title 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(c)(1)(A),	
25	JOHN JOSEPH COTA,  JOHN JOHN JOHN JOHN JOHN JOHN JOHN JOHN	
26	Defendant.  ) Title 16 U.S.C. §§ 703, 707 (Migratory Bird Treaty Act) (one count) (a Class B Misdemeanor)	
27	) (a Class B Misdemeanor)	
28	}	

#### SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges:

#### INTRODUCTION

At all times relevant to this Indictment:

- 1. The Defendant, JOHN JOSEPH COTA, was a resident of Petaluma, California, and a member of the San Francisco Bar Pilots Association. He held a federal first class pilot's license issued by the United States Coast Guard, and a state pilot's license issued by the Board of Pilot Commissioners for the Bays of San Francisco, San Pablo and Suisun. COTA had been employed as a Pilot in San Francisco Bay since 1981.
- 2. The *M/V Cosco Busan* was a 901 foot, 65,131 gross ton container ship registered in Hong Kong and bearing IMO number 9231743.
- 3. On November 7, 2007, the *M/V Cosco Busan*, with JOHN JOSEPH COTA as its pilot, departed the Port of Oakland in heavy fog and struck the Delta tower of the San Francisco Bay Bridge, which resulted in the discharge of more than 50,000 gallons of heavy fuel oil and caused environmental damage, including the loss of migratory birds.

#### **LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

#### Federal Requirements for Licensed Pilot's Annual Medical Exam

4. Title 46 U.S.C. § 7101 together with 46 C.F.R. §10.709 mandates that "every person holding a license or endorsement as a first class pilot shall have a thorough physical examination each year while holding a license or endorsement." 46 C.F.R. § 10.709(b). Further, an individual with a first class license or endorsement "may not operate under authority of that license or endorsement until a physical examination has been satisfactorily completed." 46 C.F.R. §10.709(d). The exam must be given by a licensed physician or physician assistant who completes a Coast Guard physical examination form or the equivalent. 46 C.F.R.§§ 10.205(d), 10.709(d).

#### The Clean Water Act and the Oil Pollution Act

5. In the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (the "Clean Water Act"), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(1), Congress has declared that it is the

policy of the United States that there should be no discharges of oil or hazardous substances into or upon the navigable waters of the United States or the adjoining shorelines.

- 6. The Clean Water Act makes it a crime for a person to negligently discharge oil into or upon the navigable waters or contiguous zone of the United States in such quantities as may be harmful. 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(c)(1) and 1321(b)(3).
- 7. The Clean Water Act defines a "discharge" as any spilling, leaking, pumping, pouring, emitting, emptying or dumping. 33 U.S.C. § 1321(a)(2). The Clean Water Act defines "oil" as oil of any kind or in any form, including, but not limited to, petroleum, fuel oil, sludge and oil residue. 33 U.S.C. § 1321(a)(1).
- 8. Federal regulations promulgated under the Clean Water Act define a "harmful" quantity of oil as including any discharges of oil that cause a film or sheen upon or discoloration of the surface of the water or adjoining shorelines or cause a sludge or emulsion to be deposited beneath the surface of the water or adjoining shorelines. 40 C.F.R. § 110.3
- 9. The Clean Water Act defines the "navigable waters" of the United States as the waters of the United States and the territorial seas, which are defined to be water extending three (3) miles seaward of the ordinary low tide mark. 33 U.S.C. §§ 1362(7) and 1362(8). Navigable waters also includes internal waters, which are "the waters shoreward of the territorial sea baseline." 33 C.F.R. §§ 2.24(a); 2.36. San Francisco Bay is a navigable waterway of the United States.

#### The Migratory Bird Treaty Act

- 10. The Migratory Bird Treaty Act ("MBTA") makes it unlawful for any person, at any time, by any means or in any manner, to take or kill any migratory bird without a permit or as otherwise provided by regulation. 16 U.S.C. §§ 703, 707(a).
  - 11. The term "take" in the MBTA includes killing or wounding. 50 C.F.R. § 10.12.
- 12. The Brown Pelican (*Pelecanus occidentalis*), Marbled Murrelet, (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*), and Western Grebe, (*Aechmophorus occidentalis*), among others, are listed

as migratory birds pursuant to the MBTA. 50 C.F.R. § 10.13.

#### Count One -- 18 U.S.C. §1001 (False Statements)

- 13. Paragraphs 1-4 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 14. On or about January 18, 2006, in the Northern District of California, the defendant,

#### JOHN JOSEPH COTA,

knowingly and willfully made a materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and representation in a matter within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the Government of the United States, specifically on United States Coast Guard Form CG-719K – Merchant Mariner Physical Examination Report – in that he certified that all the information he provided was complete and true to the best of his knowledge, when in fact he knew that the information he provided was neither complete nor true; including the information provided in Sections VI and VII of the form regarding current medications, the dosage, possible side effects and medical conditions for which the medications are taken.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2).

## Count Two -- 18 U.S.C. §1001 (False Statements)

- 15. Paragraphs 1-4 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
  - 16. On or about January 19, 2007, in the Northern District of California, the defendant, JOHN JOSEPH COTA,

knowingly and willfully made a materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and representation in a matter within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the Government of the United States, specifically on United States Coast Guard Form CG-719K – Merchant Mariner Physical Examination Report – in that he certified that all the information he provided was complete and true to the best of his knowledge, when in fact he knew that the information he provided was neither complete nor true; including the information provided in Sections VI and VII of the form regarding current medications, the dosage, possible side effects and medical conditions for which the medications were taken.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2).

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 

### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

### 

# 

#### 

# Count Three -- 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(c)(1), 1321(b)(3) (Clean Water Act – Negligent Discharge of a Pollutant)

- 17. Paragraphs 1-10 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 18. On or about November 7, 2007, in San Francisco Bay, within the Northern District of California, the defendant,

#### JOHN JOSEPH COTA,

did negligently discharge and cause the discharge of oil in such quantities as may be
harmful from a vessel, the M/V Cosco Busan, into and upon the navigable waters of the
United States, without a permit. Specifically, on or about November 7, 2007, Defendant
Cota, while piloting the M/V Cosco Busan, negligently caused more than 50,000 gallons of
heavy fuel oil to be discharged from the vessel into San Francisco Bay by acting in a
negligent manner, that included, the following: (a) failing to pilot a collision free course;
(b) failing to adequately review with the Captain and crew of the M/V Cosco Busan prior
to departure the official navigational charts of the proposed course, the location of the San
Francisco Bay aids to navigation, and the operation of the vessel's navigational equipment;
(c) departing port in heavy fog and then failing to proceed at a safe speed during the
voyage despite limited visibility; (d) failing to use the vessel's radar while making the final
approach to the Bay Bridge; (e) failing to use positional fixes during the voyage; and (f)
failing to verify the vessel's position vis-à-vis other established and recognized aids to
navigation throughout the voyage.

# Count Four – 16 U.S.C. §§ 703 and 707(a) (Migratory Bird Treaty Act)

19. Paragraphs 1-13 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

20. On or about November 7, 2007, in San Francisco Bay, within the Northern District of California, the defendant,

#### JOHN JOSEPH COTA,

without being permitted to do so by regulation as required by law, did take migratory birds, including at least one Brown Pelican, (*Pelecanus occidentalis*), Marbled Murrelet, (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*), and Western Grebe, (*Aechmophorus occidentalis*).

All in violation of Title 16, United States Code, Sections 703 and 707(a), and Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 21.11, 20.71 and 20.72, a Class B misdemeanor.

DATED:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

26

A TRUE BILL.

FOREPERSON

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO

United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETC

22 Clief, Criminal Division

23 (Approved as to form:

AUSA Ge

RONALD J. TENPAS Assistant Attorney General

Senior Trial Attorney

Environmental Crimes Section